Introduction to Information Retrieval

(Manning, Raghavan, Schutze)

Chapter 1
Boolean retrieval

Information Retrieval: IR

- Finding material (usually document) of an unstructured nature (usually text) that satisfies an information need from within large collections
- Started in the 50's. SIGIR (80), TREC (92)
- The field of IR also covers supporting users in browsing or filtering document collections or further processing a set of retrieved documents
  - clustering
  - classification
- Scale: from web search to personal information retrieval

How good are the retrieved docs?

- *Precision* : Fraction of retrieved docs that are relevant to user's information need
- *Recall* : Fraction of relevant docs in collection that are retrieved
- More precise definitions and measurements to follow in later lectures

Boolean retrieval

- Queries are Boolean expressions
  - e.g., ***Brutus*** *AND* ***Caesar***
  - Shakespeare's Collected Works
  - Which plays of Shakespeare contain the words ***Brutus*** *AND* ***Caesar*** ?
- The search engine returns all documents satisfying the Boolean expression.
  - Does Google use the Boolean model?
- http://www.rhymezone.com/shakespeare/

Example

- Which plays of Shakespeare contain the words *Brutus AND Caesar* but *NOT Calpurnia*?
- One could grep all of Shakespeare's plays for *Brutus* and *Caesar,* then strip out lines containing *Calpurnia*?
- Why is grep not the solution?
  - Slow (for large corpora)
  - "Not Calpurmia" is non-trivial
  - Other operations (e.g., find the word *Romans* near *countrymen*) not feasible
  - Ranked retrieval (best documents to return)

Term-document incidence

	Antony and Cleopatra	Julius Caesar	The Tempest	Hamlet	Othello	Macbeth
Antony	1	1	0	0	0	1
Brutus	1	1	0	1	0	0
Caesar	1	1	0	1	1	1
Calpurnia	0	1	0	0	0	0
Cleopatra	1	0	0	0	0	0
mercy	1	0	1	1	1	1
worser	1	0	1	1	1	0

1 if play contains word, 0 otherwise

Brutus *AND* ***Caesar*** but *NOT* ***Calpurnia***

Incidence vectors

- So we have a 0/1 vector for each term.
- To answer query: take the vectors for ***Brutus,*** ***Caesar*** and ***Calpurnia*** (complemented) ➔ bitwise *AND*.
- 110100 *AND* 110111 *AND* 101111 = 100100.

Answers to query

- Antony and Cleopatra, Act III, Scene ii
- *Agrippa* [Aside to DOMITIUS ENOBARBUS]: Why, Enobarbus,
- When Antony found Julius ***Caesar*** dead,
- He cried almost to roaring; and he wept
- When at Philippi he found ***Brutus*** slain.

- Hamlet, Act III, Scene ii
- *Lord Polonius:* I did enact Julius ***Caesar*** I was killed i' the
- Capitol; ***Brutus*** killed me.

Big collections: cannot build the matrix

- Consider *N* = 1M documents, each with about 1K terms
- Avg 6 bytes/term including spaces/punctuation
  - Size of document collection is about 6GB
- Say there are *m* = 500K *distinct* terms among these.

- 500K x 1M matrix has half-a-trillion 0's and 1's.
- But it has no more than one billion 1's.
  - matrix is extremely sparse.
- What's a better representation?
  - We only record the 1s

Inverted index

- For each term *T*, we must store a list of all documents that contain *T*.



Inverted index construction



Indexer steps

- Sequence of (Modified token, Document ID) pairs.

Doc 1

I did enact Julius Caesar I was killed i' the Capitol; Brutus killed me.

Doc 2

So let it be with Caesar. The noble Brutus hath told you Caesar was ambitious

Term	Doc #
I	1
did	1
enact	1
julius	1
caesar	1
I	1
was	1
killed	1
i'	1
the	1
capitol	1
brutus	1
killed	1
me	1
so	2
let	2
it	2
be	2
with	2
caesar	2
the	2
noble	2
brutus	2
hath	2
told	2
you	2
caesar	2
was	2
ambitious	2

- Sort by terms.

Core indexing step.

Term	Doc #
I	1
did	1
enact	1
julius	1
caesar	1
I	1
was	1
killed	1
i'	1
the	1
capitol	1
brutus	1
killed	1
me	1
so	2
let	2
it	2
be	2
with	2
caesar	2
the	2
noble	2
brutus	2
hath	2
told	2
you	2
caesar	2
was	2
ambitious	2

Term	Doc #
ambitious	2
be	2
brutus	1
brutus	2
capitol	1
caesar	1
caesar	2
caesar	2
did	1
enact	1
hath	1
I	1
I	1
i'	1
it	2
julius	1
killed	1
killed	1
let	2
me	1
noble	2
so	2
the	1
the	2
told	2
you	2
was	1
was	2
with	2

- Multiple term entries in a single document are merged.
- Frequency information is added.

Why frequency?

Term	Doc #
ambitious	2
be	2
brutus	1
brutus	2
capitol	1
caesar	1
caesar	2
caesar	2
did	1
enact	1
hath	1
I	1
I	1
i'	1
it	2
julius	1
killed	1
killed	1
let	2
me	1
noble	2
so	2
the	1
the	2
told	2
you	2
was	1
was	2
with	2

Term	Doc #	Term freq
ambitious	2	1
be	2	1
brutus	1	1
brutus	2	1
capitol	1	1
caesar	1	1
caesar	2	2
did	1	1
enact	1	1
hath	2	1
I	1	2
i'	1	1
it	2	1
julius	1	1
killed	1	2
let	2	1
me	1	1
noble	2	1
so	2	1
the	1	1
the	2	1
told	2	1
you	2	1
was	1	1
was	2	1
with	2	1

- The result is split into a *Dictionary* file and a *Postings* file.

Term	Doc #	Freq
ambitious	2	1
be	2	1
brutus	1	1
brutus	2	1
capitol	1	1
caesar	1	1
caesar	2	2
did	1	1
enact	1	1
hath	2	1
I	1	2
i'	1	1
it	2	1
julius	1	1
killed	1	2
let	2	1
me	1	1
noble	2	1
so	2	1
the	1	1
the	2	1
told	2	1
you	2	1
was	1	1
was	2	1
with	2	1

Term	N docs	Coll freq
ambitious	1	1
be	1	1
brutus	2	2
capitol	1	1
caesar	2	3
did	1	1
enact	1	1
hath	1	1
I	1	2
i'	1	1
it	1	1
julius	1	1
killed	1	2
let	1	1
me	1	1
noble	1	1
so	1	1
the	2	2
told	1	1
you	1	1
was	2	2
with	1	1



Doc #	Freq
2	1
2	1
1	1
2	1
1	1
1	1
2	2
1	1
1	1
2	1
1	2
1	1
2	1
1	1
1	2
2	1
1	1
2	1
2	1
1	1
2	1
2	1
2	1
1	1
2	1
2	1

- Where do we pay in storage?



Term	N docs	Coll freq
ambitious	1	1
be	1	1
brutus	2	2
capitol	1	1
caesar	2	3
did	1	1
enact	1	1
hath	1	1
I	1	2
i'	1	1
it	1	1
julius	1	1
killed	1	2
let	1	1
me	1	1
noble	1	1
so	1	1
the	2	2
told	1	1
you	1	1
was	2	2
with	1	1

Doc #	Freq
2	1
2	1
1	1
2	1
1	1
1	1
2	2
1	1
1	1
2	1
1	2
1	1
2	1
1	1
1	2
2	1
1	1
2	1
2	1
1	1
2	1
2	1
2	1
1	1
2	1
2	1

Boolean query processing: AND

- Consider processing the query:

  Brutus** AND **Caesar

  - Locate ***Brutus*** in the Dictionary;
    - Retrieve its postings.
  - Locate *Caesar* in the Dictionary;
    - Retrieve its postings.
  - "Merge" the two postings:



The merge

- Walk through the two postings simultaneously, in time linear in the total number of postings entries



If the list lengths are *x* and *y*, the merge takes O(*x*+*y*) operations.

Crucial: postings sorted by docID.

Boolean queries: Exact match

- The Boolean Retrieval model is being able to ask a query that is a Boolean expression:
  - Boolean Queries are queries using *AND, OR* and *NOT* to join query terms
    - Views each document as a set of words
    - Is precise: document matches condition or not.
- Primary commercial retrieval tool for 3 decades.
- Professional searchers (e.g., lawyers) still like Boolean queries:
  - You know exactly what you're getting.
- Many search systems you use are Boolean
  - Email, Intranet etc.

Example: WestLaw http://www.westlaw.com/

- Commercially successful Boolean retrieval
- Largest commercial (paying subscribers) legal search service (started 1975; ranking added 1992)
- Tens of terabytes of data; 700,000 users
- Majority of users *still* use boolean queries
- Example query:
  - What is the statute of limitations in cases involving the federal tort claims act?
  - LIMIT! /3 STATUTE ACTION /S FEDERAL /2 TORT /3 CLAIM
- /3 = within 3 words, /S = in same sentence

Example: WestLaw http://www.westlaw.com/

- Another example query:
  - Requirements for disabled people to be able to access a workplace
  - disabl! /p access! /s work-site work-place (employment /3 place
- Note that SPACE is disjunction, not conjunction!
- Long, precise queries; proximity operators; incrementally developed; not like web search
- Professional searchers often like Boolean search:
  - Precision, transparency and control
- But that doesn't mean they actually work better….

Boolean queries: more general merges

- Exercise: Adapt the merge for the queries:

  (a) ***Brutus*** *AND NOT* ***Caesar***

  (b) ***Brutus*** *OR NOT* ***Caesar***

Can we still run through the merge in time O(x+y)?

What can we achieve?

Merging

What about an arbitrary Boolean formula?

*(**Brutus** OR **Caesar**) AND NOT*

*(**Antony** OR **Cleopatra**)*

- Can we always merge in “linear” time?
  - Linear in what?
- Can we do better?

Query optimization

- What is the best order for query processing?
- Consider a query that is an *AND* of *t* terms.
- For each of the *t* terms, get its postings, then *AND* them together.



Query: ***Brutus*** *AND* ***Calpurnia*** *AND* ***Caesar***

Query optimization example

- Process in order of increasing freq:
  - *start with smallest set, then keep cutting further*.



Execute the query as (***Caesar*** *AND* ***Brutus)*** *AND* ***Calpurnia***.

More general optimization

- e.g., *(**madding** OR **crowd**) AND (**ignoble** OR **strife**)*
- Get freq's for all terms.
- Estimate the size of each *OR* by the sum of its freq's (conservative).
- Process in increasing order of *OR* sizes.

Exercise

- Recommend a query processing order for

***(tangerine** OR **trees)** AND*
***(marmalade** OR **skies)** AND*
(kaleidoscope** OR **eyes)

Kaleidoscope OR eyes (300,321)
Tangerine OR trees (363,465)
Marmalade OR skies (379,571)

Term	Freq
eyes	213312
kaleidoscope	87009
marmalade	107913
skies	271658
tangerine	46653
trees	316812

Coverage

1: Introduction

2: Term vocabulary and postings lists

3: Dictionaries (briefly)

4: Index construction (briefly)

5: Index compression (briefly)

6: Term weighting and vector space model

7: Computing scores (briefly)

8: Evaluation and result summaries

19: Web search basics

21: Link analysis